



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,556	06/22/2001	David William LaBrie	053028-0104	5873
26371	7590	11/23/2004	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308			LIM, KRISNA	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2153

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/887,556	LABRIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Krisna Lim	2153		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Art Unit: 2153

1. Claims 1-41 are presented for examination.
2. The title of the invention is neither descriptive nor precise. A new title is required which should include, using twenty words or fewer, claimed features that differentiate the invention from the Prior Art. The title should reflect the gist of or the improvement of the present invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 12, 23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Griffiths et al. [U.S. Patent No. 6,286,045].

5. Griffiths et al. anticipates (e.g., see Figs. 1-4) the invention substantially as claimed. Taking claims 1, 12 and 23 as exemplary claims, the reference discloses an information system providing information relating to a specified site (see the abstract), to users of the specified site, comprising:

- a) a communication network (30 of Fig. 1) serving a service area within the site;
- b) a server (information server 54, 58, col. 1, line 65) to the communication network (30), the server including a database of information relating to specific locations within the site (e.g., see the first 5 lines of the abstract, col. 1, line 25, to col. 2, line);

Art Unit: 2153

c) a terminal device (32, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44) including a processor and display (not shown), the terminal device in communication with the communication network and configured to access information relating to the site from the server by a user (74 of Fig. 4) of the site;

d) a user interface (browsers, col. 1, lines 22-28) provided on the display of the terminal device, the user interface including user selectable options (68, 70 of Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 18-35, web page that contains links such as the hypertext links, banners of the web page, col. 1, line 40, to col. 2, line 37) and configured to selectively provide site user information to a site user, including access to the database of information on the server (e.g., see col. 1, line 40, to col. 2, line 38).

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffiths et al. [U.S. Patent No. 6,286,045] in view of the Official Notice.

8. As to claims 1- 12, 23 and 28 see paragraph 5 for the rejection.

9. As to claims 2-8, 13-19 and 29-35, while Griffiths et al. disclose that each server has a unique URL address (web site) and this web site is U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and the web page that contains information about XYZ company (e.g., see col. 5, line 64, to col. 6, line35), Griffiths et al. do not explicitly detail that such site is either a resort site, a ski resort site, an airport site, a convention center site, an amusement part site, a campus site or a cruise ship site. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that such specific site would have been a matter of intends use of the web site.

Art Unit: 2153

10. As to claims 9-11, 20-22 and 36-38, Griffiths et al. fail to teach a radio frequency transceiver and the IEEE 802.11. Official notice is taken that such use of a radio frequency transceiver for wirelessly transmitting and receiving data and the use of IEEE 802.11 for standard transmitting and receiving in the communication network are well known and expected in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to use RF transceiver and IEEE 802.11 for wirelessly transmitting and receiving data in the communication network and thereby saving time and money for the new design by using the well-known and available devices.

11. As to claims 24-27, while Griffiths et al. disclose the information is downloaded from the web site to the user terminal, Griffith et al. does not explicitly mention or detail that the information is downloaded from the server to the handheld device using wireless communication link and GPS signal. Official notice is taken that such use of wireless communications link, the use of GPS signal and downloading information (e.g., col. 6, lines 4-5) to a handheld device are well known and expected in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to have used wireless communication link and GPS signal in the data communication network and thereby saving time and money for the new design by using the well-known and available devices.

12. As to claims 39-41, such use of a terminal device (36, 38, 40, 42 and 44) for purchasing goods via debiting user account would have been a matter of intent use of the device and doing business.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references are cited in the Form PTO-892 for the applicant's review.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the

period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisna Lim whose telephone number is 571-272-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KI

November 19, 2004



KRISNA LIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER